The interactive effects of negative symptoms and social role functioning on suicide ideation in individuals with schizophrenia
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1. Introduction

Suicide is a significant public health problem and ranks as the 10th leading cause of death in the United States, with a rate of approximately 13 deaths per 100,000 people (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2015). Among individuals with schizophrenia, risk for death by suicide is substantially higher than the general population (Hawton et al., 2005); research suggests that individuals with schizophrenia are at nearly 13 times higher risk for suicide than individuals without schizophrenia (Bushe et al., 2010), suggesting that it is critical to characterize risk factors for suicide among individuals with schizophrenia. One important and common risk factor for death by suicide among individuals with schizophrenia is suicide ideation (Hor and Taylor, 2010; Kasckow et al., 2010; Montross et al., 2005). Because of its proximal nature as a risk factor for death by suicide, suicide ideation is often used as an outcome in studies of suicide risk among individuals with schizophrenia (e.g., Kasckow et al., 2014; Yan et al., 2013).

Therefore, it is essential to identify variables that are associated with suicide ideation, as such variables can possibly be targeted to reduce suicide risk. One set of variables that has been linked to suicide risk in individuals with schizophrenia, as well as other populations, can be characterized as social functioning (e.g., poor or unstable social support, social isolation, and withdrawal from others; Balhara and Verma, 2012;
Caldwell and Gottesman, 1992; Heila et al., 1999; Koeda et al., 2012; Lyu and Zhang, 2014; Saarinen et al., 1999). The assumption in studies of social functioning and suicide risk appears to be that individuals desire social support, and when this desire is not met, suicide ideation may be elevated. The interpersonal theory of suicide (Joiner, 2005) indicates that a sense of thwarted belongingness (i.e., lack of reciprocal caring relationships and belonging with valued others) is a proximal risk factor for suicide. Variables such as social isolation and size of social network are indicators of thwarted belongingness, which leads to suicide ideation (Joiner, 2005; Van Orden et al., 2010).

Individuals with prominent negative symptoms of schizophrenia may not experience this desire for social belonging and closeness (Kring et al., 2013). Social anhedonia is a core negative symptom of schizophrenia (Blanchard et al., 2011; Horan et al., 2006) that it is consistently elevated in clinical samples (Blanchard et al., 1998) and is stable across time and psychiatric symptom status (Blanchard et al., 2001). Importantly, Kring et al. (2013) found that individuals with experiential deficits in motivation and pleasure were less likely to endorse a desire for close relationships and social engagement. Moreover, multiple studies have identified a positive correlation between negative symptoms (assessed broadly through the negative symptoms subscale of the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale Kay et al., 1987) and suicide ideation (Terrier et al., 2004; Umut et al., 2013; Yan et al., 2013). Though there have been mixed findings regarding the role of negative symptoms and risk for suicide attempts or death by suicide (e.g., Luckhoff et al., 2014; McGirr et al., 2006; Umut et al., 2013; Yan et al., 2013), the extant literature consistently provides evidence that negative symptoms may be an important consideration in risk for suicide ideation among individuals with schizophrenia.

As noted above, many studies have found relationships between social functioning and suicide risk in schizophrenia (e.g., Koeda et al., 2012; Lyu and Zhang, 2014). However, negative symptoms and related lack of desire for social closeness may provide an explanation for other studies that have not identified relationships between suicide risk and indicators of social support (e.g., positive evaluations of social role functioning, social support, recent loss; Gooding et al., 2013; Heila et al., 1999; Lopez-Morinigo et al., 2014; Yan et al., 2013). It could be that individual differences in negative symptoms and the desire for social affiliation explain prior inconsistent findings regarding social functioning and suicide ideation among individuals with schizophrenia. Individuals with schizophrenia who do not desire social closeness or who have elevated negative symptoms may not experience social support as a protective factor against suicide ideation. However, those with schizophrenia who desire social closeness or have few negative symptoms may find social support to be protective against suicide ideation, similar to individuals without schizophrenia. These interactions have not yet been investigated. Additionally, it is unclear whether self-reported desire for social closeness or interviewer-rated negative symptoms more globally may be more important in these interactions.

As is evident from the literature, social support and functioning can be defined in numerous ways. Of particular relevance to individuals with schizophrenia, social role functioning can be used to capture various aspects of social support, including frequency of interactions, size of network, and closeness of relationships (Goodman et al., 1993). Consequently, we hypothesized that self-reported desire for social closeness and interviewer-rated negative symptoms would each moderate the relationship between social role functioning and suicide ideation in a sample of adults with schizophrenia. We expected that clinician-rated negative symptoms would show a stronger effect, as negative symptoms may affect social functioning more globally and would be less reliant on participant insight. Additionally, we anticipated that this interaction would indicate that those who valued social closeness or had few negative symptoms and had good social role functioning would endorse the lowest levels of suicide ideation; however, we anticipated that social role functioning would not significantly influence suicide ideation among those who did not value social closeness or had elevated negative symptoms.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

We utilized data from 162 participants diagnosed with schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder (based on the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV; First et al., 2001) collected as part of a larger study validating a new instrument for the assessment of negative symptoms (Kring et al., 2013); a complete description of the methods has been reported elsewhere (Kring et al., 2013; Reddy et al., 2014). The sample was 57.4% male, with a mean age of 46.84 (SD = 9.48, Range = 23–65). Participants were primarily Black/African-American (50.3%) or White/Caucasian (40.3%), and not married (73.5%). Most participants were not employed (76.4%), and about one-third of the sample resided in supervised living situations (19.2%) or transient/short-term housing (14.9%).

2.2. Measures

The 24-item expanded version of the Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS; Overall and Gorham, 1962; Ventura et al., 1993) was used to measure clinical symptomatology. Items were rated by interviewers on a 7-point scale, ranging from “not reported” to “very severe,” based on symptom frequency and severity during the past week. For the current study, the BPRS suicidality item was used to assess suicide ideation. This item is rated based on the frequency and severity of reported thoughts of death or suicide, as well as suicidal intent and plans. Additionally, depression items, anxiety items, and the positive symptoms subscale (Kopelowicz et al., 2008) were used as covariates.

Social role functioning was assessed with the Role Functioning Scale (RFS; Goodman et al., 1993), a four-item clinical interview that has been found to provide reliable and valid assessments of patient role functioning (e.g., Edmondson et al., 2012; Yamada et al., 2010). In the present analysis, we summed items assessing functioning in family relationships and functioning in friendships as our measure of social role functioning.

The Social Closeness Scale from the Multidimensional Personality Questionnaire (MPQ-SC; Tellegen and Waller, 2008) is a true-false self-report trait questionnaire used to measure desire for social affiliation. The 22-item MPQ-SC reflects the following characteristics: sociable, values close relationships, warm/affectionate, and welcomes support. The MPQ-SC has been shown to have high internal consistency (i.e., alphas above .80) and good convergent validity (Aday and Cornelius, 2006; Llerena et al., 2013).

The Clinical Assessment Interview for Negative Symptoms (CAINS; Kring et al., 2013) is a widely-used interview that was employed to assess negative symptoms in two domains: (1) expression and (2) motivation and pleasure (MAP). CAINS items are rated on a scale of 0 to 4 by an interviewer, and higher scores reflect greater impairment related to each negative symptom. The MAP subscale consisted of nine items (e.g., assessing expected and past-week pleasure derived from various activities, family relationships), whereas the expression subscale consisted of four items (e.g., assessing facial expression as well as speech characteristics). Cronbach’s alpha suggests strong internal consistency reliability, and correlations with related measures suggest good convergent and divergent validity (Kring et al., 2013).

2.3. Procedures

Participants were recruited from community mental health centers at four geographically diverse sites (see Kring et al., 2013 for a complete description). All participants provided informed consent and then completed self-report measures, clinical rating scales, and functional
Descriptive statistics and bivariate zero-order correlations are presented in Table 1. Of note, the scores for the suicide ideation item ranged from not present (1) to moderate (4); no participants scored in the moderately severe (5), severe (6), or extremely severe (7) range. The average score was 1.19 (SD = 0.50), suggesting that the mean level of suicide ideation was low, but there was a range of severity present.

Results of the nonparametric procedure indicated that our hypotheses were partially supported. The model that tested self-reported desire for social closeness as a moderator was significant, $R^2 = .15$, $F(6, 144) = 4.35$, $p = .001$. However, desire for social closeness did not modulate the relationship between social role functioning and suicide ideation in our sample (see Table 2); the interaction did not significantly add to the variance explained by the model, $\Delta R^2 = .00$, $F(1, 144) = 0.04$, $p = .843$ (see Fig. 1 for plot of the interaction).

The model that tested motivation and pleasure-related negative symptoms as a moderator was also significant, $R^2 = .26$, $F(6, 130) = 7.77$, $p < .001$. The interaction term added significantly to the predictive power of the model, $\Delta R^2 = .03$, $F(1, 130) = 5.84$, $p = .017$ (see Fig. 2 for plot of the interaction), indicating that motivation and pleasure-related negative symptoms moderated the relationship between social role functioning and suicide ideation (see Table 2). The Johnson–Neyman technique indicated that the moderator was significant at CAINS-MAP scores below −5.22 (23.36% of all scores were below this cutoff, equivalent to 8.63 in non-centered scores), but not above this score (76.64% of scores), suggesting that social role functioning had a significant effect on suicide ideation when motivation and pleasure-related negative symptoms were low.

Finally, the model that tested expression-related negative symptoms as a moderator was significant, $R^2 = .21$, $F(6, 147) = 6.39$, $p < .001$, though expression-related negative symptoms were not a significant moderator in this model (see Table 2), as the interaction term was not significant, $\Delta R^2 = .00$, $F(1, 147) = 0.16$, $p = .690$ (see Fig. 3 for plot of the interaction).1

4. Discussion

The aim of this study was to identify whether self-reported desire for social closeness and interviewer-rated negative symptoms would influence the protective effect of social role functioning on suicide ideation in individuals with schizophrenia. The results of the current study suggest that better social role functioning was associated with less suicide ideation only in those individuals who had low motivation and pleasure-related negative symptoms. These findings were limited to motivation and pleasure-related negative symptoms, as the interaction of self-reported desire for social closeness and social role functioning was not significantly related to suicide ideation, nor was the interaction of expression-related negative symptoms and social role functioning. This is partially consistent with our original hypotheses, and lends credence to the rationale that insight into symptoms may influence social functioning (e.g., Kring et al., 2013), which may influence social functioning (e.g., Kring et al., 2013), and social role functioning in relation to suicide risk. This interaction may explain the inconsistent findings regarding whether social support and social role functioning act as protective factors against suicide in

---

1 Because of established gender differences in suicide, negative symptoms, and social support, we ran all analyses separately in men and women. The interactions for the MPQ-SC and CAINS-Expression analyses remained non-significant for both genders. The interaction for the CAINS-MAP analysis became non-significant for both men and women, possibly due to low power.
individuals with schizophrenia (e.g., Heila et al., 1999; Lopez-Morinigo et al., 2014; Yan et al., 2013).
This study also indicates that Joiner’s (2005) interpersonal theory of suicide may provide an explanation of suicide risk among individuals with few negative symptoms of schizophrenia, but may not be the most appropriate model from which to conceptualize suicide risk in those with prominent negative symptoms. Negative symptoms may affect the desire for social belonging and motivation to seek belonging (e.g., Kring et al., 2013). Therefore, individuals with prominent negative symptoms may not experience a thwarted need for belonging and, as such, may not be at elevated risk for suicide due to thwarted belongingness. Instead, suicide risk among individuals with elevated negative symptoms (e.g., Umut et al., 2013; Yan et al., 2013) may be due to other causes, such as demoralization (Drake et al., 1985).
These results suggest promising clinical interventions to potentially reduce suicide risk among individuals with schizophrenia. Of particular importance may be enhancing social functioning among individuals with few motivation and pleasure-related negative symptoms to reduce suicide ideation, and therefore risk for death by suicide. Clinicians may develop a collaborative clinical focus on increasing frequency of social

### Table 2

Nonparametric model results predicting suicide ideation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analysis</th>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>Regression coefficient</th>
<th>Standard error</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Lower limit of 95% CI</th>
<th>Upper limit of 95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (MPQ-SC)</td>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>.758</td>
<td>.097</td>
<td>7.796</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.566</td>
<td>.950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>.052</td>
<td>.032</td>
<td>1.646</td>
<td>.102</td>
<td>-.011</td>
<td>.115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>.075</td>
<td>.028</td>
<td>2.712</td>
<td>.008</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive symptoms</td>
<td>.056</td>
<td>.045</td>
<td>1.253</td>
<td>.212</td>
<td>-.033</td>
<td>.145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Role functioning</td>
<td>-.002</td>
<td>.011</td>
<td>-.169</td>
<td>.866</td>
<td>-.024</td>
<td>.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social closeness</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td>.012</td>
<td>-.503</td>
<td>.616</td>
<td>-.017</td>
<td>.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Interaction</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.004</td>
<td>-.199</td>
<td>.843</td>
<td>-.007</td>
<td>.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (CAINS-MAP)</td>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>.646</td>
<td>.106</td>
<td>6.087</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.436</td>
<td>.855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>.075</td>
<td>.035</td>
<td>2.168</td>
<td>.032</td>
<td>.007</td>
<td>.143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>.070</td>
<td>.030</td>
<td>2.342</td>
<td>.021</td>
<td>.011</td>
<td>.129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive symptoms</td>
<td>.131</td>
<td>.048</td>
<td>2.726</td>
<td>.007</td>
<td>.036</td>
<td>.226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Role functioning</td>
<td>-.015</td>
<td>.014</td>
<td>-.105</td>
<td>.298</td>
<td>-.042</td>
<td>.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Negative symptoms-MAP</td>
<td>-.008</td>
<td>.007</td>
<td>-.1.043</td>
<td>.255</td>
<td>-.021</td>
<td>.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Interaction</td>
<td>.004</td>
<td>.002</td>
<td>2.417</td>
<td>.017</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (CAINS-Express)</td>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>.648</td>
<td>.098</td>
<td>6.627</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.455</td>
<td>.842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>.078</td>
<td>.033</td>
<td>2.340</td>
<td>.021</td>
<td>.012</td>
<td>.143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>.068</td>
<td>.028</td>
<td>2.421</td>
<td>.017</td>
<td>.012</td>
<td>.123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive symptoms</td>
<td>.105</td>
<td>.044</td>
<td>2.395</td>
<td>.018</td>
<td>.018</td>
<td>.191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Role functioning</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.012</td>
<td>-.070</td>
<td>.945</td>
<td>-.023</td>
<td>.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Negative symptoms-Express</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.010</td>
<td>.063</td>
<td>.950</td>
<td>-.018</td>
<td>.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Interaction</td>
<td>-.001</td>
<td>.003</td>
<td>-.400</td>
<td>.690</td>
<td>-.007</td>
<td>.005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: CI: Confidence interval. MPQ-SC: Multidimensional Personality Questionnaire-Social Closeness subscale. CAINS-MAP: Clinical Assessment Interview for Negative Symptoms-Motivation and Pleasure subscale. CAINS-Express: Clinical Assessment Interview for Negative Symptoms-Expression subscale. Suicide ideation measured by the Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale item 4; Depression measured by the Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale item 3; Anxiety measured by the Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale item 2; Positive symptoms measured by the Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale positive symptoms subscale; Role functioning measured by the Role Functioning Scale sum of items 3 and 4; Social closeness measured by the Multidimensional Personality Questionnaire-Social Closeness subscale; Negative symptoms-MAP measured by the Clinical Assessment Interview for Negative Symptoms-Motivation and Pleasure subscale; Negative symptoms-Express measured by the Clinical Assessment Interview for Negative Symptoms-Expression subscale. Interaction term is the multiplied effects of role functioning and social closeness (Analysis 1), motivation and pleasure-related negative symptoms (Analysis 2), or expression-related negative symptoms (Analysis 3).

**Fig. 1.** Effects of role functioning on suicide ideation plotted by low, average, and high desire for social closeness.
Fig. 2. Effects of role functioning on suicide ideation plotted by low, average, and high motivation and pleasure negative symptoms.

Fig. 3. Effects of role functioning on suicide ideation plotted by low, average, and high expression negative symptoms.
contact, reducing withdrawal from others, improving reciprocity in social relationships, making contributions to others, and reducing dependency or destructive behaviors in relationships. Social skills training, an evidence-based group intervention, may be particularly beneficial in increasing social functioning among individuals with schizophrenia (Bellack et al., 2004). Additionally, the results of this study also indicate that assessing for social functioning, as well as negative symptoms, may be beneficial when assessing suicide risk in individuals with schizophrenia.

This study had several limitations. The sample was relatively small and was recruited as part of a larger study focused on negative symptoms, which may have introduced bias. However, the sample was recruited from four sites across the country and the gender distribution was relatively equal, which increases the likelihood of generalizability. Yet, our sample was predominantly single (never married) and White or Black, which may limit generalizability to individuals who are married or identify as other races. The stabilized outpatient sample may also limit generalizability to more symptomatic individuals. Additionally, as is common in studies that utilize self-report measures, we cannot rule out the possibility of social desirability bias in responding. Also, while we controlled for many risk factors for suicide, there may be other psychiatric symptoms or areas of functioning that influence suicide ideation but were outside the scope of this study. The one-item measure of suicide ideation may also limit reliability, and average scores on this item were relatively low. Finally, because this study was cross-sectional, causal assumptions cannot be made. Future research may address some of these limitations by utilizing longitudinal data collection, recruiting a larger or acutely high-risk sample, or using multimethod multifractal data collection to assess a range of variables in multiple ways to reduce the likelihood of bias.

In conclusion, these findings indicate that individuals with few negative symptoms appear to benefit from social interactions, as those with better social role functioning had lower suicide ideation than those with poorer role functioning. This suggests that clinical interventions should focus on improving social functioning to potentially reduce suicide risk in individuals with few negative symptoms of schizophrenia.
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